

DCP 468 Working Group - Meeting 01

26 February 2026 at 13:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Catherine Carr [CC]	NPg
Claire Wagstaffe [CW]	SSE
John Harmer (JH)	Waters Wye
Mark Bellman [MB]	SP ENW
Code Administrator	
Dylan Townsend [DT] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RC]	ElectraLink

1. Administration

Recording

- 1.1 The Chair noted that the meeting is being recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

Apologies

- 1.2 The Chair noted received apologies. These can be found in the attendees list above.

Competition Law Guidance and Terms of Reference

- 1.3 The Working Group agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

Actions

- 1.4 The Chair confirmed that all actions captured within this meeting will be recorded within the action log; this can be found in the Appendix.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review and discuss the Change Proposal within the Working Group and agree next steps.

3. Overview of DCP 468 Change Proposal

- 3.1 The Chair invited the proposer [MB] to provide an overview of the DCP 468 Change Proposal to the Working Group.
- 3.2 MB believes there is at least one dataflow (D0164 a.k.a. MM00069) that has existed since 1998, that meets no codified need, is no longer in use and therefore should be removed from the Data Transfer Catalogue (DTC) / Electricity Market Data Specification (EMDS).
- 3.3 MB stated that they have looked for an obligation or right in DCUSA which might be met or exercised by the use of D0164, finding no such need for the flow.
- 3.4 MB suggested that it is evident that existing market participants and code bodies have routinely produced D0164s during testing which are of no value, consuming resources unnecessarily.
- 3.5 MB believes that there are two steps to take here, the first of which is the subject of this Change Proposal and the second of which is initiated by RECCo Panel engagement with this Proposal and progressed under the aegis of their own governance, if, and in the manner in which, they consider appropriate to reassure industry that all flows in EMDS remain in current use

4. Review and Discussion of the Change Proposal

- 4.1 The Chair invited the Working Group to both review and discuss the DCP 468 Change Proposal.
- 4.2 The key updates can be found below:
- 4.3 JH agreed with the proposed change and suggested issuing a brief Consultation to wider industry seeking views as to whether parties use this flow or whether it is needed.
- 4.4 CW and CC also support the proposed change stating that if it is not used, there is no reason to keep it. CW also stated that the D0164 flow is redundant with Smart Meters.
- 4.5 MB stated that the D0164 flow pre-dates the DCUSA.
- 4.6 RC informed the group that this change was mentioned at the Cross-Code Steering Group, but noted that interaction may be needed with REC and this will be discussed further at the next Cross-Code Steering Group.

ACTION 01/01: The Secretariat to further discuss this change at the next Cross-Code Steering Group.

4.7 Agreed Consultation Questions

- 4.8 The Working Group agreed to the below draft Consultation questions:

- Do you believe the D0164 flow is needed?

- Can you conceive a scenario whereby others may need to use the D0164 flow?
- Please provide the obligation that is met by the use of the D0164 flow.

4.9 MB suggested that it may also be worth reaching out to the 1 DNO and 1 IDNO that were found to have used the D0164 flow, to ask whether these were not live, and if they were, what were the reasons for using these, as a specific Consultation question.

4.10 The Secretariat agreed to develop the draft Consultation and circulate to the Working Group for an offline review. Once reviewed, the Secretariat agreed to issue the final Consultation to wider industry for further feedback. It was noted to aim to issue the Consultation prior to 09 March 2026 due to Working Group member availability to respond.

ACTION 01/02: The Secretariat to develop a draft Consultation and circulate to the Working Group for an offline review.

ACTION 01/03: The Secretariat to issue the Consultation to wider industry for further feedback

4.11 The Secretariat agreed to issue a meeting poll following the circulation of the Consultation to the Working Group to schedule the next meeting to review the collated Consultation responses.

ACTION 01/04: The Secretariat to issue a meeting poll (following the Consultation deadline) to review the collated Consultation responses.

5. Agreed Next Steps

5.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:

- The Secretariat to produce a draft Consultation for the Working Group to review offline.
- Once finalised, the Secretariat to issue the Consultation to wider industry for feedback.
- The Secretariat to issue a meeting poll to schedule the next meeting to review the collated Consultation responses.

6. Any Other Business

6.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.

6.2 There were no other items raised.

7. Date of Next Meeting – TBC

7.1 The next Working Group meeting is TBC – a meeting poll will be issued to the Working Group in due course.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1_DCP 468 Work Plan

APPENDIX A

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
01/01	The Secretariat to further discuss this change at the next Cross-Code Steering Group.	Secretariat	New Action.
01/02	The Secretariat to develop a draft Consultation and circulate to the Working Group for an offline review.	Secretariat	New Action.
01/03	The Secretariat to issue the Consultation to wider industry for further feedback	Secretariat	New Action.
01/04	The Secretariat to issue a meeting poll (following the Consultation deadline) to review the collated Consultation responses.	Secretariat	New Action.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update